



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,233	07/17/2006	Keiichiro Oishi	MIKI0002	8092

24203 7590 02/23/2012  
GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC  
SUITE PH-1  
2300 NINTH STREET, SOUTH  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

EXAMINER
----------

POLYANSKY, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1735

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

02/23/2012

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

GandS@szipl.com  
burke@szipl.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/597,233	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OISHI, KEIICHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b> Alexander Polyansky	<b>Art Unit</b> 1735	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Polyansky. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dr. Ashton. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐101    ☐112    ☐102    ☐103    ☐Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 7-17, 19-21, 33-62, 67-80, 85- 89, 93-97, 99-101, 103-105 and 107-109.

Identification of prior art discussed: Furukuwa, Hasegawa.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Disccussed amending claim 7 to recite the range for Sn as claimed in amended claim 1 in order to allow the withdrawn product and method. Applicants agreed and filed a Supplemental Amendment on December 16, 2011 with the changes.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment